


---

# SCI-HUB AU CŒUR DE L'ÉCOSYSTÈME DE COMMUNICATION SCIENTIFIQUE ?

Extrait de Paysage de l'Open Access :  
complexité et hybridation des modèles de publication et de  
communication scientifique - Hans Dillaerts 2018  
MCF en SIC à l'université Paul-Valéry Montpellier III - LERASS-CERIC



~~Cette formation a été préparée sans avoir recours à Sci-Hub~~






Figure 1: **The history of Sci-Hub.** Weekly interest from Google Trends is plotted over time for the search terms “Sci-Hub” and “LibGen”. The light green period indicates when Sci-Hub used LibGen as its database for storing articles [30]. The light blue period indicates the public availability of access logs from Sci-Hub [32]. The first pink dotted line represents the collection date of the LibGen metadata used in Cabanac’s study [33,34]. The second pink dotted line shows the date of Sci-Hub’s Tweeted DOI catalog used in this study.


DOI : <https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.3100v2>





Overrepresented publishers, in ascending order

DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11366.1>



DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11366.1>

# Pratiques et motivations

---

In survey, most give thumbs-up to pirated papers (John Travis)

Enquête de 2016 : <https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-PQX56R8R/>

Environ 11 000 répondants